

The background of the cover features a person in a dark suit and patterned tie, holding a glowing globe of the Earth in their right hand and a tablet computer in their left. The scene is set against a dark, moody background with a faint rainbow visible in the upper right corner.

African Journal of Political Science and International Relations

Volume 9 Number 11 November 2015

ISSN 1996-0832



*Academic
Journals*

ABOUT AJPSIR

The **African Journal of Political Science and International Relations (AJPSIR)** is published monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

African Journal of Political Science and International Relations (AJPSIR) is an open access journal that publishes rigorous theoretical reasoning and advanced empirical research in all areas of the subjects. We welcome articles or proposals from all perspectives and on all subjects pertaining to Africa, Africa's relationship to the world, public policy, international relations, comparative politics, political methodology, political theory, political history and culture, global political economy, strategy and environment. The journal will also address developments within the discipline. Each issue will normally contain a mixture of peer-reviewed research articles, reviews or essays using a variety of methodologies and approaches.

Contact Us

Editorial Office: ajpsir@academicjournals.org

Help Desk: helpdesk@academicjournals.org

Website: <http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/AJPSIR>

Submit manuscript online <http://ms.academicjournals.me/>

Editors

Dr. Thomas Kwasi Tiekou

*New College, University of Toronto
45 Willcocks Street, Rm 131,
Toronto, Ontario,
Canada.*

Dr. Mark Davidheiser

*Nova Southeastern University
3301 College Avenue; SHSS/Maltz Building
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33314
USA.*

Dr. Enayatollah Yazdani

*Department of Political Science
Faculty of Administrative Sciences and Economics
University of Isfahan
Isfahan
Iran.*

Dr. Kannamma S Raman

*Department of Civics and polotics
University of Mumbai Vidyanagari,
Kalina
Mumbai 400 098
India.*

Dr. Upendra Choudhury

*Department of Political Science
Aligarh Muslim University,
Aligarh-202002
Uttar Pradesh,
India.*

Dr. S.M. Omodia

*Department Of Political Science,
Kogi State University
Anyigba, Kogi State
Nigeria.*

Naheed Shabbir Goraya *Centre
for South Asian Studies University
of the Punjab, Lahore Pakistan.*

Dr. Muhammad Ishaque Fani

*Department of Pakistan Studies,
Bahauddin Zakariya University,
Multan,
Pakistan.*

Dr. Aina, Ayandiji Daniel

*Faculty of Management and Social Sciences
Babcock University, Ilishan – Remo, Ogun State,
Nigeria.*

Prof. F. J. Kolapo

*History Department
University of Guelph
N1G 2W1Guelph, On
Canada*

Dr. Nonso Okafo

*Graduate Program in Criminal Justice
Department of Sociology
Norfolk State University
Norfolk, Virginia 23504*

Dr. Johan Patrik Stålgren

*Department of Political Science,
Göteborg University,
Göteborg.
Sweden*

Dr. Nawal K. Paswan

*Centre for South, Central, South East Asia and South
West Pacific Studies
School of International Studies
Jawaharlal Nehru University
New Delhi 110067
India.*

Dr. Okotoni Matthew Olu *Department*

*of Public Administration Obafemi
Awolowo University
Ile-Ife,
Nigeria.*

Dr. Rudra Prakash Pradhan

*Vinod Gupta School of Management Indian
Institute of Technology, Kharagpur West
Bengal- 721 302,
India.*

Dr. Murat Gül

*Department of International Relations
Süleyman Demirel University, ISPARTA, Turkey.*

Editorial Board

Prof. TOHĂNEANU, Cecilia

*Dean -The Faculty of Political Science
Christian University, Dimitrie Cantemir”, Splaiul Unirii
nr.
176, Bucharest Romania.*

Assistant Prof. Bulend Aydın ERTEKIN,

*Department of Journalism Anadolu
University Eskisehir Turkey*

Dr. Zakir Husain

*Assoc. Prof. Economics,
Institute of Development Studies Kolkata, (IDSK)
1 Reformatory Street, Calcutta University Alipore
Campus, 5th Floor,
Calcutta 700 027,
INDIA.*

Dr. Yu-Kang Lee,

*Associate Professor
Department of Political Economy
National Sun Yat-Sen University
Taiwan (R.O.C.)*

Dr. Xi Chen,

*Assistant Professor
Department of Political Science
School of Social and Behavioral Sciences
208 SBS
1201 W. University Dr.
Edinburg, TX, 78541
USA*

Prof. Muhammad Saleem Mazhar

*Professor of Persian, Chairman,
Department of Persian,
University of the Punjab,
Lahore*

Prof. BOSTAN D. IONEL

*‘A I. I. Cuza’
University of Iasi,
Faculty of Economics and Business Administration
ROMANIA*

Dr. Muhammad Ishaque Fani

*Associate Professor,
International Relations, Solomon Asch Center for Study of
Eth-Political Conflict,
Department of Psychology,
Bettws-Y-Coed 237 Bryn Mawr College, 101N Merion
Avenue, Bryn Mawr, PA, 19010-2899*

Prof. Branko Dimeski,

*Department of Administration and Management
Information Systems
St. Kliment Ohridski University
Partizanska bb
7000, Bitola
Republic of Macedonia*

Dr. PRAGATI JAIN

*Associate Prof.
Sanghvi Institute of Management and Science,
Indore- 453331
(M.P), INDIA.*

Dr. Jagdish P. Verma

*Post-Doctoral Fellow, UGC Major Research Project, Dept. of
Defence & Strategic Studies, Faculty of Science, Allahabad
University,
Allahabad*

Dr. Enayatollah Yazdani

*Associate Professor
Department of Political Science
Faculty of Administrative Sciences and Economics
The University of Isfahan
IRAN.*

Dr. Amir Ahmed Khuhro,

*Assistant Professor
Department of International Relations
Shah Abdul Latif University Khairpur (Mir’s),
Sindh, Pakistan*

Dr. Bharat Chandra Rout

*Research Scholar
National University of Educational Planning and
Administration (NUEPA)
17-B, Sri Aurobindo Marg,
New Delhi
India*

Dr. Mohammed Viquaruddin

*Assistant Professor
Dept. of Political Science
Deogiri College,
Station Road,*

Alemayehu Fentaw Weldemariam

*Jimma University (JU) - Faculty of Law
Jimma, Oromia 378
Ethiopia.*

ARTICLES

Review

- | | |
|---|------------|
| The importance of India Assistance to Afghanistan: A critical analysis | 386 |
| D. Sendhilkumar | |
| The cost and benefit of Nigeria's peace mission in Sierra Leone | 393 |
| Abubakar Mohammed | |

Review

The importance of India Assistance to Afghanistan: A critical analysis

D. Sendhilkumar

Research Scholar, Centre for South Asian Studies, Pondicherry University, India

Received 13 August, 2013; Accepted 6 August, 2015

As there is relation between human to human for understanding each other feelings and difficulties in civics life everywhere, there is a relation between state to state for strengthening weak state as well as maintaining friendly relations without bitterness for the society development (Morgenthau, 1973). Since Osama Bin laden terrorist groups attacked WTO organization in US (Rondeaux, 2008), the relationship between India and Afghanistan has on the success of the regional harmony. This unfortunate attack has been fortifying Indo Afghanistan relations strongly as other neighboring countries are getting zealous on two partner progress in South Asia integrity (Press Trust of India, 2006a). So in this pattern, circumstances have made the two countries to get together in contemporary world progress toward assimilating their self to establish a strong relation toward South Asia Integrity. The anti-terrorist war of US has created fortunate and unique opportunities to India for repairing and reconstructing the war-torn Afghanistan. Very notably, the WTO incident has been one of the turning points in India's foreign policy in protecting its country through renovating India and Afghanistan relations (Rohde, 2006).

Key word: India's policy, Afghanistan reconstruction, WTO attacks, and India's security.

INTRODUCTION

Than any other relations, the economic relations have been as nucleus in all aspects of countries' relations. This begins from individual needs to world needs to fulfill people's ambition as well as foster mutual relations among the world countries (MacDonald 2003). By this relation, countries are able to carry out their political agenda, give livelihood to people and create a good atmosphere for people to survive with active participation in government decision making. To accomplish this goal as the biggest democratic neighbor to South Asia (Mohan 2003). India has been projecting its pro-people approach and pro neighbor feelings by preaching its historical democracy goodwill in South Asia region. After Russia

invasion India is one of the countries in South Asia as well as in the world with interest to reconstruct Afghanistan infrastructure (Mohan, 2004). Its hidden and external interest will be discovered through its helps and economic assistances in Afghanistan since 2001-2010. Legible policy of the India's external relations especially in Afghanistan could be pro-humanitarian thoughts and love than any other expectations. There were so many political level and religious level bitterness between the two countries. Often terrorist attacks on India's important places based on the issues of Pakistan claims over on Kashmir the relations between Afghanistan and India have gone futile (Press Trust of India, 2006b).

E-mail: senthilkumarpu@gmail.com

Authors agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Agreements

The aim to reconstruct collapsed Afghanistan India and Afghanistan has been laid down so many essential agreements on 4 October 2011 in four major areas: politics, security, trade, and human resource developments (Namiar, 2004). This agreement has given plenty of opportunities to India to become permanent member in UNO security council with the support of world major donors as well as Afghanistan support. Regarding this, United States of America has regularly arranged high level meetings to coordinates various countries leaders for fighting against international terrorism, narcotics and money laundering (Negrophonte, 2007). Moreover it called world leaders to promote women protections, develop Afghan economy and strengthen security sector in which India has played a significant role in extending political support, economic assistance and security support to Afghanistan (Pant 2009). India's trust worthy contribution in Afghanistan as per world community anticipation as well as Afghanistan people expectations have been secured as an anthropological service name in the world people sights. Its external appearance, and internal appearances toward reconstruct disarray economic structure of Afghanistan has been fantastic in the world politics scenario since 2001-2010.

Financial assistance after 2001

Remarkably, India has been soul partner of Afghanistan developments forum the South Asia region since 2001, and two countries are preserving their historical civilization (Rahul, 2012), cultural and societal ties. The 9/11, incident let to disappear Taliban power and offered full-fledged political and finance support to new Afghans government (Raman, 2004). After Taliban government has fallen down, India strategy was changed with aim to support and maintain friendship with it (Rashid, 2001). For carry out India's planed project in Afghanistan president harzai has visited nine time to India which was highly motivate to India for implement its policies there without hurdles and threat (Rais, 1993). India promised to give additional \$500 million as a development assistance to Afghanistan; the recent afghan president visit to New Delhi (October -2011) resulted in discussing signing of extended diplomatic partnership (Times of India, 2010). Even after 2001, India has been offering number of US dollar worth financial assistance for the sack of Afghanistan people peaceful life such as:

1. India declared US\$ 100 million to afghan, in this grand US\$31.5 million were utilized during 2002-2003; remaining US\$ 53.5 million have been operated and committed to particular project which started during the 2003-04.

2. To reconstruct road from zaranj to delaram India has given Rs.377.47 corers
3. Understanding war oriented famine in Afghanistan, India has given 1 tons of wheat (\$100 million) to Afghan, portion of this grand (85517 tons of wheat) was converted into high protein biscuits. From November 2002 to June 2003 (9526 tons of wheat) was send to afghan school meals programme .By this programme 972,000 children's are getting 100 gram biscuits pocket every day (The Hindu Business Line, 2007)
4. For facilitate and motivate Afghan army, India have given 300 vehicles as gift.
5. Additionally, to impart good education to them India provided scholarship for afghan higher education student and under various plane and scheme it gives training to afghan nationals (The Indian Express, 2010).
6. As cash subsidy, India government has transferred \$ 10 million in July 2002.
7. For Afghan reconstruction programme, India has given trust donation during 2002-2003.

Humanitarian assistance

1. India gave much more economic assistances to reconstruct Afghanistan, as second phase it has given humanitarian assistance in 2003 and very immediately India dispatched 34 tons winter cloth (The Indian Express, 2007)
2. As part of humanitarian concern, India has provided 20, 000 blankets to heart province in February 2002.

Showing concern on health

1. During Taliban period there were much more civilian casualties, to give treatments them no hospital was having modern equipment. Due to this problem, India has constructed a hospital with name of Indira Gandhi child hospital in Kabul, it started to function in 2003 September (The New York Times, 2010).
2. India has send a team of 14 doctors to Afghanistan and they are working in Kabul since November 2001. Daily this team has been attending and disbursing medicines at polyclinic of Indira Gandhi Institute of child Health .Further ,this Indian medical team is also running an open theater at the koh-e-markaz Hospital in Kabul.
3. Since April 2002 A6- member Indian doctors and paramedics is running an operation theater at the civil Hospital in Mazare-esharif.
4. Team wise India has send doctors and paramedics to work in different province of Afghan and A4-team working in shebargan since may 2003.
5. A4-groups of doctors and paramedics have been commenced its work in province of Kandahar in September 2003.

6. Afghan people have had hospital without essential medicines and instruments; to repair these shortages again India has offered equipment and instrument.

7. In war of terrorism much more army person have lost their leg and hands ,to give treatment and fix artificial leg with latest technology, India established jaipur foot fitment camp at kabul military hospital in January 2002. Roughly 1000 appendage were fixed. To help patient and avoid camp scarcity, India established one more camp in mazar-e-sharif and meyamaneh there nearly 600 artificial member were fixed (Sharma, 2009). India felt that medicine and equipment not only would be enough it wanted additionally to train up the artisan who are specialized on fixing appendage. At the end of camp all those materials and equipments were used was hands over to the authority of mazar-e-sharif and the training camp was named jointly by two country as Indo-Afghan Rehabilitation centre. Various medical instruments given to authority with chair, crutches, hearing aids and audiometers.

1. Because of India medical assistance and doctor's service in Afghanistan, annually 10,000 patients are getting treatments.

2. Not only has ended its help and assistance there, and also has sent its engineers to plaster damaged place and renovate the Indira Gandhi institute of child health. This represents ever remarkable growing relations and friendship between two countries. All other old hospitals were damaged during the period of prolonged war except Indira Gandhi child hospital.

3. Repairing work on new surgical block of IGICH was abdicated in 1992 due to uneasy again it was completed and handed over to Afghanistan public Health ministry by Indian external affairs ministry Mr.k.Natwarsingh

Precise stories about IGICH

1. The Indira Gandhi hospital for child health is only hospital in Afghanistan.

2. With interest to promote child health in war-torn Afghanistan its foundation stone was laid down by both country political heads King Zahir shah ministry of Afghanistan and vice president of India Dr. Zahir Husain in 1966.

3. The Hospital formally was inaugurated in 1976 by King Zahir.

4. It was visited by India Prime Minister Mrs. Indira Gandhi in 1976 Very soon the hospital was renamed as Indira Gandhi Institute of Child health in 1985.

5. Building surgical Block and polyclinic commenced to function in 1989 but functions stopped because of war.

6. India came to know that portion of hospital was damaged due to war, soon it accelerated its renovation work in 2003. Unaffected surgical Block was already

functioning.

7. India has spend \$2.7million to renovate Indira Gandhi Institute of Child Health hospital

8. Worth of US \$ 0, 5 million medical equipments supplied to IGICH.

9. Given books to library and equipments for the Diagnostic Centre.

10. In order to dispose hospital wastage, it has supplied incinerator facilities.

11. India gave training to 23 Doctors of four batches and paramedics of IGICH at the institute of all India institute of medical science.

12. During post Taliban period 12 paramedics' doctors were trained in 2003-04.

13. Blatantly Afghanistan has received more than 400 tons of medical equipments and instruments since 2001.

Education

India launched "Back to school" campaign along with facilities of school feeding programme. It is to make confident the school drop-outs children's for make them attend school regularly without absents (South Asian Monitor Society for Policy Studies, 2010). It will increase school performance and keep up attendance performance as good. While Afghanistan people were facing severe food shortfall with the anticipation of funds from the foreign donors for school feeding programme, for this India very soon declared officially one million metric tons of wheat worth of \$ 100 million. Due to India – Pakistan transit problem (Srinivasan, 2007), India was not able to send wheat quickly thereby wheat was converted in high protein biscuits with cooperation of World Food Programme authority for the sack of Afghan school going girls and boys .As the massive result of students biscuits acceptance, India's donation has empowered UNO to distribute food to school on lunch times and this was helpful to expand programme up to rural and remote areas (Subramanian, 2009).

Habia School in Afghanistan is oldest school which is located in front of the Babar mausoleum .This is the first education institution was provide modern education it was founded in 1903 (The Hindustan Times, 2010). The school lost its structure, shape and it's faced severe damages during the decade of war. Its walls and windows were punctured by gun, to reconstruct it was questionable among the Muslim leaders due to financial problem. To encourage and boost Afghanistan education facilities, India took a marvelous reconstruction challenges in September 2003. Very less than two years with so much hurry up this school was reconstructed at the cost of \$5 million. After works were over school was looking as elegant as beautiful.

As to foster educational atmospheres in Afghanistan, India gave 8,600 educational kits to students in august

2002.

1. 1500 kits stationary were given to students in paktika province in February 2003
2. to make interest students either on reading or writing, 20,000 desks were provided in three provinces (Kabul, jalabad and mazar-e-sharif)
3. With aim to create and shape skill full teachers in Afghanistan educational institutions ,India selected 47 teachers from there and given training in India famous schools (Delhi public school and Sanskrit school in new Delhi)
4. To fulfill educational expenditure of students and degree holders , India has been given scholarship to 44 students in commerce, political science, economics, engineering and computers science in India institutes.
5. Afghanistan people had been facing serious English language problems; to avoid this problems India has sent English teachers
6. For letting the students to search books and discover something, India has provided books and laboratory equipment to Kandahar University in April 2004.
7. In same year again it gave lab equipment and sports materials to other schools in Nimroz in February 2004.

Democracy

The thirst of India is to install democracy form of government in Afghanistan; it has provided multi assistance and training. It could not say directly that Afghanistan should come for new democracy constitution. But providing all necessary helps for their peaceful life as well as let them understand what types of government would be better than at present existing government there. Based on this ambition,

1. It has constructed new parliament at the cost of \$ 25 million.
2. Indian engineers with so many building designs have consulted and planned along with afghan engineers.
3. For conduct Afghan loya jirga election in 2003 , India with the cooperation of United Nation Assistance mission have been given 65 electronic voting machine and has send two experts to operate and for technical support .
4. To prevent bogus voters, India gave 50,000 indelible inks markers during presidential election in October 2005.
5. To impart and train up about election procedure and method, India invited 30 staffs' members from National secretariat of Afghanistan for 3-week training in India in august 2005 at the Bureau of parliamentary study.

Urban development

Afghanistan has been at long day's war and insurgents

due to its domestic ethnic problems, this has led the Kabul and other interior places to the status of severe water shortage, unclean cities and power shortage. So Afghanistan municipality authority was not able to maintain all cities with power supply, water facilities and hygienic condition because of sporadic unrest and commotion. The capital city has around 3 million inhabitants, its population has increased so much in the last two years, regards to this problems, the municipality authorities were on sadness about how to give transport facilities and satisfy people daily needs. Pertaining to keep city clean, provide water facilities and power supply, India was approached by the Kabul municipality officers asking assistances. To which India gave garbage collecting vehicle as per the needs of Afghanistan municipal authority for establish a healthy and clean atmosphere.

India Assistance to the urban sector

With the interest to keep clean Kabul cities and for other so many purposes of municipality, India has given 105 vehicles for Afghanistan municipality which included dump trucks, bulldozers, motor graders and garbage tippers.

Engineering Laboratory Equipment

In order to develop engineering facilities in Afghanistan, India has offered lab equipment, yardstick instruments to the Ministry of Urban Development and housing board modernization of Afghanistan in May 2003.

Construction of toilets

Government of India assured Afghan municipal authority at the time of their request to construct public toilets. It rapidly constructed 8 public toilets (sulabh) in Kabul at:

1. Indira Gandhi Institute of Child Health (2)
2. Park-e-Zarnigar
3. Pul-e-Bagh-e-Omomee Area
4. Tahia-e-Msakan Area
5. Deh-kepak Area
6. Charahi kote sangi
7. Debori Park

Telecommunication

After Taliban has fallen down, its telecom sector was damaged so badly by terrorism and people were facing communication problems over the phone with other

neighbors without reciprocal happiness with their relatives. Regarding this India has not let anyone its neighbor countries alone since before independence and after Independence also. It has been establishing close relationship with countries from south Asia to central Asia. India is committed to deliver assistance to Afghanistan soon it has sought the help of India to boost its telecommunication facilities. For which India has been provided 35,000 digital telephones in 11 provincial capitals. After implementation of this telecom network facilities in 11 provincial, Afghanistan have been benefited with STD and ISD facilities through media satellite /microwave connected to central Hub and International gate way at kabul.

Along with these facilities the subscriber would have internet facilities and wireless telephone instrument will be able use up to 20 kilometers radius. India has provided 35,000 CDMA-WLL Lines, 11 provinces will have protected telecom links with Kabul and with rest of the world and subscribers will have internet and fax facilities.

Transport

On the basis of population increases, the bus facilities in Afghanistan were needed. Actually there were bus facilities which were destroyed in war and terrorism. After the fall of Taliban regime there were only 50 buses. Many provinces have no public transport facilities. India offered 400 TATA and Ashoke Leyland buses. Daily, the buses carry around 2000 passengers to work, market and were providing cheap and comfortable transport facilities. Three air buses and aircrafts were given as gifts to Afghanistan after proper test for three years in Ariana air lines. India focused at improving and giving training to officers of Afghanistan civil aviation officers. The following buses were given to Afghanistan Government by Indian government.

1. 205 buses operating in Kabul
2. 35 in Kandahar
3. 30 in Heart
4. 20 in Balkh
5. 17 in Nangarhar
6. 8 in Baghlan
7. 7 in Paktiya
8. 6 each in Takhar, kunduz and Bamiyan
9. 5 each in Parwan, wardak, kapisa, Logar, Badakhsan, Ghazni, Saripul, Samangan, Jawzjan Hilmandand Farah
10. 3 each in Daikondi, Ghowr, Khost and Panjsher
11. 2 in Nimroz

Civil aviation

Afghanistan is a small Islamic country, being in the

middle of attractive place of south Asia as well as central Asia. Due to its geography location and mineral deposition, it is so vulnerable to alien countries. To prevent this panic, India has given three airbus aircraft, with all necessary equipment and spares in September and December 2002.

To drive best and manage airlines, India called 51 Ariana Afghan airline officers for give training.

India has trained 8 officers of Ariana Afghan Airlines at the Indian Airlines Training center, Hyderabad for a period of six weeks in September –October 2003.

For training up Afghan Air force officers and give Indian Airlines to Afghanistan India has signed with Afghanistan in memorandum of understanding in the area of civil aviation on 25 February, 2005.

Important provisions of the MOU on Civil Aviation

India shall be extending its assistance and training to Afghanistan whenever it needs, regarding air traffic, management, air navigational helps, pilot, communication, airline protection and preservation of aircraft.

India shall supply man power and give training to Afghanistan Airport developments.

India shall offer all possible helps to instruct as to CNS-ATM facilities and other time found needs to the Civil Aviation School in Kabul.

Based on the cordial relations between two countries, India shall render continual support for maintenance of Afghanistan aircraft.

Water and energy

Energy is a chief driver of economic growth and it will propel a country economic growth ahead. As serene returns and economic growth become so speed in Afghanistan, water and energy also so an important, which need to be strengthened and protected by military or department of geology. To generate economic activities and boost agricultural sector, needs electricity in each cities and village of Afghanistan. Out of its received \$ 500 million assistance from the various countries, additionally, India has involved to generate 40% power, which will be transmitted to various cities of Afghanistan.

Salma Dam

1. For increase in water storage in Afghanistan, India had committed at the Berlin Conference to give \$ 800 million for constructing and executing Salma Dam Project in Heart province; its construction works have been done by the Indian engineers.

2. A 107.5 meter high earth and rock fill dam on the Hari

Rud River through water conductor system located on the right bank of the river for power generation .

3. A surface power house with three units of 14 MW each –total 42 MW-at the toe of the dam on the right bank

4. Power transmissions at the distance of 143 kilometers from Chiste-sharif to Heart province.

5. Lasting 5,000 square meters building have constructed at Chiste-sharif.

Pul-e-Khumri to Kabul Transmission Line

6. To abate power shortage in Afghanistan India committed \$111 million in 2005 for construction of the 220KV D/C Pul-e-Khumri to Kabul transmission line and Kabul substation.

7. The power transmission line is passing overt the Salang Range Mountains crossing heights of 400 Mts. Further it involves constructing 600 transmission towers; this project is one of the important projects of power link which carries power to Kabul. Its whole works were commenced and completed by Indian engineers by 2008

8. records of India assistance

9. Building of the power transmission line from Pul-e-Khumri to Kabul and establishment of Kabul power substation.

10. Salma hydro power project with caliber of 42 MW and power transmission line to Heart province was constructed by India.

11. India offered equipment and other materials for power transmission purpose from Andhkoi to Maimana at the distance of 125 KM, from there power is transmitted to 3 substation and 4 pole mounted station in faryab province.

12. To give water supply, India has constructed 24 deep tube wells in Herat Province, and has conducted field survey at 100 places with water quality annalist .After proper survey, this project was completed

13. Broad project estimation was done for rehabilitation of six mini / micro hydro projects, Khanabad irrigation project as well as for Amir Ghzi and Quargha reservoir.

14. For the rehabilitation work of Amir Ghazi and Quargha, India has committed an amount of US \$4.1 million.

India assistance to the security sector

India is having too much interest on modernization of Afghan security forces, but its interest is not allowed thoroughly by some of its apposite countries due to power motivation as well as geography interest. In spite of facing so many hurdles it have been offering security needs as part of humanitarian assistance.

1. India has given 300 vehicles to Afghan Nation Army (ANA) .These facilities include troop carriers, Tran's port vehicles, field ambulance and jeeps.

2. To protect soldier form the winter times, India has provided 25 tons winter clothing to the Army in 2001 and

in 2003

3. Provided musical instruments to the Afghan Army Band on June 2003

4. Offered 277 packages of medicines in October 2003

5. Comfortable 5000 meters of summer battle cloth provided to ANA.

Police

6. To make Afghan police officers mentally and physically well, India has given training to 288 police officers and 250 Afghan police officers were imparted training in 12 various courses in India from July to September 2002. Other 38 Afghans police officers participated in six various training programmes in India during January to June 2005.

7. Provided communication instruments to Kandahar police.

Justice

India gave training to Afghan judges and lawyers at the Indian Institute of Law in New Delhi from February to May 2003.

Conclusion

India assistance to Afghanistan has gained unforgettable and ever commemorate reputations from the world developed and developing countries. Its assistance definitely will yield good pace and economic development in India vanishing terrorism. The assistance which makes and force the Afghan people to realize about India grand's and humanitarian approach to establish cordial relationship with India instead of using terrorism and it will not support and send its youths to Pakistan to train them for conduct terrorism activities against India in south Asia continent. Actually India would be unaffected hereafter from the terrorism and insurgency if it is having ever continuous relations with Afghanistan than any other country. India has been showing pertinent interest in reconstruction of war-torn Afghanistan since 2001. Very affirmatively in future, India shall accommodate Afghanistan interest with its national agenda for do all possible helps and assistances to it. After this goal, no more place and chances would be to Pakistan for use terrorism with help of Afghanistan. India has got good respect in terms of rendering humanitarian assistance to Afghanistan for accomplishing the damages of US initiated war and damages in Afghanistan on the pattern of world solidarity and solicitous .Its welfare will be taken care by other countries when it wants to take care upon other countries developments. This is known as exchanging of feelings, expectation and assistance when any one country is unable to fulfill its needs and necessities.

REFERENCES

- MacDonald JA (2003). Rethinking Indian's and Pakistan's Regional Intent. *The National Bureau of Asian Research Analysis*. 14(4): 5-26.
- Mohan CR (2003). *India's New Road to Afghanistan*. The Hindu, September 6.
- Mohan CR (2004). Trade-off on Transit. *The Hindu*, August 12.
- Morgenthau H (1973). *Politics among Nations*, 5th Ed. New York: Knopf.
- Namiar VK (2004). Statement on the situation in Afghanistan at the Security Council. April 6. Available at <http://www.un.int/india/2004/ind910.pdf>
- Negrophonte J (2007). Annual Threat Assessment of the Director of National Intelligence Testimony to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, January 11, 2007, available at <http://intelligence.gov/07011/negrophonte.pdf>
- Pant HV (2009). Pakistan and Iran: A Dysfunctional Relationship. *Middle East Quarterly*, 16(2):43-50.
- Press Trust of India. (2006a). Militants Camps continue to run in Pakistan. August 10.
- Press trust of India. (2006b). India-Afghanistan Blossom amidst Turmoil. December 27.
- Rahul RC (2012). "India." In Toby Dodge and Nicholas Redman (eds.) *Afghanistan to 2015 and Beyond* (The International Institute for Strategic Studies, London: Routledge, p. 231.
- Rais RB (1993). Afghanistan and the Regional Powers. *Asian Survey*, 33(9):915-16.
- Raman B (2004). Terrorism in Afghanistan and Central Asia, South Asia Analysis Group, Paper No.1172. Available at <http://sagg.org/papers/12/paper1172.html>
- Rashid A (2001). *Taliban: The Story of Afghan Warlords*. Oxford: Pan Books.
- Rohde D (2006). Iran is Seeking More Influence in Afghanistan. *New York Times*, December 27.
- Rondeaux C (2008). Foreign Agents Blamed in Deadly Kabul Attack. *Washington Post*, July 9.
- Sharma P (2009). The Lesser Evil? *Outlook*, April 6, 2009.
- South Asian Monitor Society for Policy Studies, New Delhi (2010). Sept. 13, 2010; Press TV (Tehran), Nov. 1.
- Srinivasan G (2007). Afghanistan's entry to SAARC will lead to \$2-bn gain for sub-continent. *The Hindu Business Line*, March 29.
- Subramanian (2009). Such a Short Journey. *The Hindu*, July 7.
- The Hindu Business Line* (Chennai, Madras), Mar. 29, 2007.
- The Hindustan Times* (New Delhi) (2010). *Business Standard*, Sept. 15.
- The Indian Express* (New Delhi), Jan. 23, 2007.
- The Indian Express*, July 27, 2010.
- The New York Times*, Jan. 28, 2010
- Times of India* (New Delhi), Jan. 29, 2010; Gen. Stanley McChrystal, interview, *The Financial Times* (London), Jan. 19, 2010; *Times of India*, Feb. 26, 2010

Citations

- Balance of Land Trade in Favour of India," *Pakistan Observer*. June 29, 2013. <http://pakobserver.net/detailnews.asp?id=211114> (Accessed July 1, 2012).
- Delhi Investment Summit on Afghanistan, 28 June 2012, New Delhi organised by Confederation of Indian Industry and Government of India. "Economic Links Between India and Afghanistan." <http://www.dsafghan.in/pdf/India-Afghanistan.pdf> (Accessed July 23, 2013).
- Department of Commerce, Government of India. Data on India-Afghanistan Trade <http://commerce.nic.in/eidb/iecnt.asp> (Accessed August 6, 2013).
- Indian Embassy in Afghanistan. "India-Afghanistan Development Partnership." <http://meakabul.nic.in/pdfs/partnership.pdf> (Accessed July 23, 2013).
- Lokesh Chandra. "Afghanistan and India: Historico-Cultural Perspective," in K. Warikoo (ed.) *The Afghanistan Crisis: Issues and Perspectives* (New Delhi: Bhavana Books, 2002), pp. 1-15.
- Misra, R. (2002). Indian Military Shadow over Central Asia. *Asia Times Online*, September 10. available at <http://www.atims.com/atimes/south-Asia/D110df01.html>
- "Nirupama Rao: 'our Focus in Afghanistan is on Development,'" *Business Standard*. February 28, 2010. http://www.business-standard.com/article/opinion/nirupama-rao-our-focus-in-afghanistan-is-on-development-110022800035_1.html (Accessed July 24, 2013).
- Rubin, Barnett R. and Andrea Armstrong (2003), *Regional Issues in the Reconstruction of Afghanistan*. *World Policy Journal*, Vol.20.No.1 (spring).
- The 220kv transmission line from Pul-e-Khumri to bring additional power from the northern grid to Kabul.
- United Nations Development Programme, Afghanistan, National Joint Youth Programme (NJYP). <http://www.undp.org.af/whoweare/undpinafghanistan/Projects/dcse/prj/youth.htm> (Accessed July 23, 2013).
- When completed, the 1,222-kilometre long Central Asia South Asia Electricity Transmission and Trade Project (CASA-1000) transmission project will supply electricity from Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan to Afghanistan and Pakistan.

Review

The cost and benefit of Nigeria's peace mission in Sierra Leone

Abubakar Mohammed

Department of Political Science, Faculty of Social Sciences, Usmanu DanFodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria.

Received 21 June, 2015; Accepted 24 August, 2015

In the 1990s Nigeria has heavily invested huge amount of resources in promoting peace and stability in many African countries, especially its involvement in the conflict-riddled Sierra Leone. These activities have been perceived by many foreign policy experts as misplacement of priorities considering its domestic security challenges that have been neglected for over two decades. For instance, the growing insurgency and the increasing activities of militant groups across the country like Movement for the Emancipation of Niger Delta (MEND) in the oil-rich South-south, the MOSSOB in the South-east and more recently the emergence of a terror group (Boko Haram) in northern Nigeria has greatly undermined Nigeria's national security and its corporate existence. In light of these domestic security challenges, how can the huge investments of Nigeria in Sierra Leone be justified within the theoretical contexts of foreign policy and international relations? Does the costs of Nigeria's engagements in Sierra Leone outweighs its benefits or vice versa? The paper, therefore seeks to examine the trends of Nigeria's principle of promoting security and stability abroad and its implications on the competing needs for attention to domestic concerns, particularly the domestic security challenges that threatens the continued survival of the Nigeria state.

Key words: Foreign policy, conflict, mission, cost, benefit.

INTRODUCTION

The need for domestics and international security and other development provisions had continued to bring sovereign states into closer cooperation and sometimes fierce competition. States foreign policies therefore are usually designed along the desire to achieve security and development at both domestic environment, and international system. This perhaps explains why a state may be directly or indirectly not only interested but become a key stakeholder in promoting peace and stability outside its territories. The argument is that one

state's peace and stability, for example, security is a function of another state's peace and stability, all things being equal. For example, countries in Western Europe have numerous programmes for promoting peace and security in addition to joining forces with US, EU, UN, AU, OAS and other western countries and organizations to promote peace and stability within and outside their geopolitical regions. Peace and development today remain the dominating themes of interactions among sovereign states. In addition to the efforts of the West to

E-mail: abumuhdxx@gmail.com.

Authors agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

promote peace and stability in Africa, some countries within the continent have also demonstrated keen interest in the promotion of peace and stability projects within the region. Nigeria, for example, strongly believes in the indivisibility of peace, that a threat to peace anywhere in Africa is a threat to peace everywhere in the continent (Adebajo, 2008). By extension, an Africa at war and in distress is also a threat to world peace and security (Adebajo and Mustafa, 2008). Nigeria has always looked beyond its borders for development and peace projects. While Africa has been the corner stone of its foreign policy, Nigeria has always seen itself and been perceived by others, as a global player (Jega and Farris, 2010). This is because of its historic role in peace mission in African countries which include Liberia, Sierra Leone, and Sudan. Nigeria, through its foreign policy had persistently sought to achieve security and development in the West African sub-region. The country's commitment towards the promotion and sustenance of peace and stability especially in the countries ravaged by civil wars had drawn the attention of many foreign policy experts on the motives of such foreign interventions.

Nigeria's intervention in the promotion of peace and stability, especially in Sierra Leone, was a logical policy corollary to the dedication of its leaders to African independence from colonialism and foreign domination, its sense of itself as a regional power with a determination to keep other nations from exercising strong influence in what it considers its sphere of influence, and above all, its reflection of what constitutes its national interest (Okolie, 2010).

In the 1990s Nigeria heavily invested huge amount of resources in promoting peace and stability in many African countries, especially in Sierra Leone. These activities have been perceived by many foreign policy experts as misplacement of priorities considering its domestic security challenges that have been neglected for over two decades. The paper therefore examines the background of Nigeria's peace mission in Sierra Leone. It also explained the cost and benefits of its mission in the country and Nigeria's security interests in the sub-region.

National Security Interest

Countries all over the world design and implement foreign policies in order to guide their external relations as well as protect, promote and defend their vital national interest. This could be in areas like defense of territorial integrity, the promotion of economic, military, strategic and diplomatic interests and whatever a country might consider as its vital national interests. According to Deutsch (1989:97), foreign policy of every country deals, first, with the safeguarding of its independence and security, and second with the pursuit and protection of its economic interest. Deeply, involved with these interests, in the case of the major powers, at least, are a concern

with resisting any penetration and manipulation by foreign countries and ideologies. Finally, closely linked to the national security, economic interest, and clandestine warfare interest of each major power are its policies of economic aid to foreign nations, its efforts to spread its own national and ideological propaganda in foreign countries and its support of cultural and scientific exchange missions favorable to that end (Deutsch, 1989:99).

Bande (2010) argues that a state's national interest informs and guides its foreign policy objectives towards other states. The national interest determines how countries relate in the global system. He pointed out that foreign policy is the systematic effort by states to protect and advance their national interest in their conduct in the international system. International organizations like United Nations (UN), African Union (AU), and Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) have become instruments for advancing Nigeria's interest. The Nigeria's interest towards Sierra Leone was pursued under the umbrella of ECOWAS, AU and the UN.

Military security in today's world is provided primarily by individual countries as part of their foreign policy objectives. Each state is responsible for its own protection and tries to maintain a military capacity to defend its national interest. Other countries normally come to the aid of a country that has been attacked only if they find it in their national interest to do so. Nigeria's involvement in Liberia and Sierra Leonean conflicts was to ensure the security of West Africa States and itself in particular as provided in her foreign policy objectives. Similarly, Afghanistan and Iraq provide good examples. The United States of America attacked the two countries under the pretext of fighting terrorism and searching for weapons of mass destruction, respectively, which are considered as threats to the USA national security (Jega and Farris, 2010).

The United States and Russia and to a significant extent also China, Great Britain and France are powers so large that no one could abolish their national independence. Yet it is precisely the United States and Russia that are spending the most money, labor, resources, and efforts in pursuit of what their governments, elites, and peoples consider their national security interest (Deutsch, 1989:98). In Africa, Nigeria is considered as a "super power." The country has spent billions of dollars in trying to protect its national security interest within the West African sub-region. Military intervention in conflict-riddled Liberia and Sierra Leone in the 1990s has cost the country huge amounts of money and resources (Okolie, 2010). The explanation is simple. It is a kind of "Parkinson's law" of national security: a nation's feeling of insecurity expands directly in relation to its power. The larger and more powerful a nation, the more its leaders, elites and often its population increase their level of aspirations in international affairs (Deutsch, 1989). The recurrent international situation continues to

undergo profound and complex changes. Peace and development remain the dominating themes of interactions among sovereign states.

Nigeria's domestic security challenges

Nigeria like other developing countries has been enmeshed by series of domestic intricacies which adversely affects its corporate existence. Nigeria since independence had been battling with the domestic crises which consist of insecurity, political violence, economic predicament, insurgency and corruption. The crisis had thrown major challenges to the country's desire to achieve security and economic development at both domestic and international levels despite its human and economic potentialities.

Peter (2007) argues that, among the powers in Africa, the one with potentials the most significant strategic heft as well as the greatest geopolitical importance is Nigeria (Peter, 2007:2). Its almost 200 Million people makes the country the most populous African State, with a demographic weight equal to second- ranked Egypt and third- ranked Democratic Republic of Congo combined. Moreover, the population includes, in both relative and absolute terms, a large number of well-educated citizens who represent a wealth of human capital. Its vast oil reserves, estimated to total some 35 billion barrels, export some 2,146,000 barrels a day, making Nigeria the 8th largest exporter in the world (Peter, 2007).

These potentials gave the country capacity to address its developmental needs which include provision of peace and security. However, regardless of the economic strength and human resources, Nigeria's successive governments failed to afford adequate security to the teeming population. Virtually, almost all parts of the country are witnessing different forms of terrorists' activities. For example, in the Oil rich South-south Movement for the Emancipation of the Niger Delta (MEND) has become the major terrorist group that threatens the economy and security of the country. On the other hand, the Movement for the Actualization of the Sovereign State of Biafra (MOSSOB) in the South-east agitates for Biafra Republic. In the North the emergence of new terror groups called Boko Haram is threatening the corporate existence of Nigeria as sovereign state. Recently the terrorists captured major towns in the North-east part of the country. The Boko Haram named one of its captured towns to "*madinatul islam*"(City of Islam)(Daily Trust, Monday, December 8, 2014). Many believed that lack of commitment of the country's leaders and misplacement of priorities are affecting the country's peace and security. The three terror groups MEND, MOSSOB and BOKO HARAM are central to Nigeria's domestic security challenges and even beyond its borders.

The MEND is one of the largest military groups in Nigeria. The group claims to expose exploitation and

subjugation of the people of the Niger Delta and devastating of the natural environment by public-private partnership between the Federal Government of Nigeria and collaborations involved in the extraction of oil in the Niger Delta (Agbedo, 2012). The MEND's actions in the search of the freedom of Niger Delta people were eventually turned into terror activities in the region. The violent nature of the group posed a serious security threat to the country. Prior to amnesty deal between MEND and Nigerian government in 2006, MEND as a group has no clear leadership structure. The only known face of MEND is its anonymous or implied spokesperson Jomo Gbomo, who is known only through press statements distributed to the media. The movement is a loose coalition of indistinct groups and variety of leaders scattered across the states of the Niger Delta, who sometimes are unaware of events undertaken by other cubicles until such events are published. The decision not to have a single authority structure but a different and unstructured leadership is to make the movement vague, but effective in guerilla warfare extending over the whole region (Courson, 2009:20). This strategy was aimed at avoiding the fate in earlier movements in the region which a visible leadership such as Movement for the Survival of Ogoni People (MOSOP), Egbesu Boys of Africa (EBA), and Niger Delta Peoples Volunteer Force (NDPVF) whose top hierarchy was easily targeted for elimination, or compromised by the oil companies and the government (Courson, 2009:20). This "indiscernible" nature of MEND is an important factor making it difficult for the government, oil companies and even the military to target the organization and effectively neutralize its activities in the troubled Niger Delta (Courson, 2009:20).

The Niger Delta conflict gained prominence in the 1990s at the height of Nigeria's peace missions in Liberia and Sierra Leone. Thereafter, the conflict degenerated into violent resistance movement, particularly between 2005 and 2008. The new dimension witnessed huge destruction of oil installations, kidnapping of foreign and indigenous oil workers, disruption of oil production and illegal oil trade or bunkering (estimated at 80,000 and 300,000 barrel per day). The disruption of oil production and distributions affects the security of the nation (Amaragbu, 2011). The incessant rebellion in the Niger Delta which has been boiling for years has assumed a dangerous dimension, which undermines the growth of Nigerian economy. For example, MEND roughly succeeded with its threat to cripple the Nigerian oil industry (IRIN, 2006), largely on account of high dependence of the Nigerian economy on oil. The advent and proliferation of militant groups and the sophisticated nature of their attacks have made the Nigerian economy vulnerable to stagnation (Paki and Ebiefa, 2011:142). The MEND operation in June, 2008, almost grounded oil business in Nigeria and made the country to cede her position as the primary oil exporter in Africa to Angola (Punch, 2009).

The reporting of the Ledum Mitee led Technical Committee on the Niger Delta estimated that, Nigeria lost about \$61.6 billion to oil theft and sabotage in the unstable Niger Delta region between 2006 and 2008. Facts of the account indicate that in 2006 alone, the total cost of oil loss due to the actions of MEND was \$272 billion, while an extra \$1.9 billion was lost to the oil bunkering (Paki and Ebiefa, 2011:142). In 2007, the country as well lost \$18.8 billion to the devastating Niger Delta crisis. Again, Nigeria lost an estimated revenue of about \$23.7 billion to attacks on oil installations resulting in shut downs and spillages in the first nine months of 2008 (Ajaero, 2009). Apart from the inability of Nigerian government to meet up with its Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) quota and other negative economic effects, the oil Multi-National Co-operations (MNCs) on their part allegedly lost billions of dollars to the conflict. Shell Petroleum Development Company (SPDC) between 2003 and 2007 lost about \$10.6 billion (Nwofor, 2010). The losses have virtually affected the economic potency of Nigeria and also contributed to the deterioration of other developmental institutions in the country.

In the 1990s the country's efforts to promote peace and security especially in Sierra Leone received more attention than growing insurgency in the Niger Delta region. The level of commitments shown by the Nigeria in ensuring peace and security in Sierra Leone outweigh the country's efforts in curtailing its domestic security challenges particularly during the intervention. The amount expended in promoting peace and stability in Sierra Leone has not been committed in addressing the insurgency threat in the Niger Delta. Nigeria's involvement in the Sierra Leone conflict provided favorable atmosphere for the MEND to expand their mission and achieved some of its aims. Despite the efforts made to curtail the activities of the MEND which led to the establishment of Ministry of Niger Delta and increase in the revenue allocation formula to the region, the group is still one of the major domestic security challenges in the country.

Boko Haram is an Islamic terrorists group whose name in Hausa Language means 'western education is forbidden'. The group officially calls itself '*Jama'at Ahl as-Sunnah Lid-Da'wah wa'l-jihad*' (Group of the People of Sunnah for Preaching and Jihad). The terrorist group normally operates in northern part of the country mostly affects the police force at the initial stage of their attacks and later targeted civilians. It is leading an armed revolt against the government and security forces. The ultimate ambition of the group is to establish an Islamic state which partially succeeded after the members captured the town of Mubi in the north-eastern part of the country. Over 700,000 Nigerians were internally displaced in 2014 as a result of their violent crises (Daily Trust, Monday, December 8, 2014).

The Boko Haram insurgency has recently introduced a

terrorist facet into the crime space in Nigeria. The trademarks of the Boko Haram are gratuitous destruction of lives a property with reckless abandon, through bombings, abduction and slaughtering of human beings, principally in Northern Nigeria. This has created flagrant fear and sense of insecurity in Nigeria (Adebayo, 2014). Since July 2009, more than 15,000 people have been killed in bombings and gun attacks by Boko Haram (Agbibo, 2013). Since the emergence of Boko Haram from the shadows about six years ago, one of the first obvious economic concerns was the almost immediate drop in foreign direct investment (FDI). According to the World Investment Report (WIR) 2013, FDI flows into Nigeria dropped by 21% in just one year – from \$8.9 billion in 2011 to \$7 billion in 2012. The loss of \$1.9 billion for a country in desperate need of money such as Nigeria was a staggering blow. A scientific study revealed that a unit increase in FDI into the Nigerian oil sector will increase the country's GDP by approximately 16 units (Gillespie, 2015). Sam Nzekwe (2012) lamented that:

The activities of Boko Haram are causing an incalculable damage to the nation's economy. Though it is difficult to quantify the damage in absolute terms, the level of insecurity occasioned by the sect's activities is preventing the inflow of Foreign Direct Investments (FDIs) into the country. If there is anything foreign investors are scared of, it is insecurity. Boko Haram with the recent bombing of United Nations (UN) building in Abuja has put Nigeria on the group of terrorist nations (Titus, 2012).

The *Boko Haram* activities in the northern part of the country have undermined the security capabilities of Nigeria. The terrorist groups have weakened the economic strength of the country by destroying businesses in the country especially in the northern part of Nigeria. The security challenges have impacted negatively on both the private and public sectors of the economy as many businessmen and traders have relocated their businesses from the areas worst affected by the violence. About 35 per cent of the over three million Igbo businessmen and traders in the city who engaged in both small and medium scale businesses are reported to have fled to Abuja and the South-east due to the growing insecurity posed by the activities of Boko Haram (This Day, 2012). Security institutions were generally considered ineffective considering their inability to defend lives and properties of the citizens of the country. Their ineffective performances had been largely attributed to corrupt nature of the country's political institutions and failure of relevant authorities to provide the needed equipments required in provision of security.

The activities of Boko Haram equally created not only security threats to the citizens of the country but the sovereignty of Nigeria as an independent state. In the late 2014, the terrorist captured over 20,000 square kilometers of territory in three North Eastern states of

Borno, Yobe and Adamawa. The land mass was about the size of Wales in the United Kingdom or the state of Maryland in United States, and bigger than Northern Ireland (Daily Trust, 2014). Boko Haram posed stern security problem in the country. The government was accused of not providing the necessary measures to stop the killing of innocent citizens on daily basis. Foreign policy experts raised many questions on the capacity of Nigeria's government in providing peace and security in Sierra Leone and could not achieve that internally.

Motives of Nigeria's Missions in Sierra Leone

As one may expect, external factors have central influence on Nigeria's foreign policy towards Sierra Leone crisis. Journalists and scholars over the years described Nigeria's foreign policy as 'moral and legitimist; conservative, timid and leisurely and dynamic and radical' (Nuamah, 2003). These appellations of course emanated from analysis of Nigeria's foreign policy process and the orientation of the foreign policy elites. Policy formulations and decision making are in many respect guided by certain general principles, not necessarily systematically enunciated or outlined, but sometimes found in pronouncements of the policy making elites or in the fundamental law of the land (Okolo, 1987). Nigeria's efforts in promoting peace and stability in Sierra Leone were a turning point in the country's external relations. Hashimu stated that:

Ever since, Nigeria was widely recognized in the international community as a dedicated and unrelenting supporter of world peace. Nigeria demonstrated her commitment towards promoting peace and stability in Africa. Her contribution in conflict in Sierra Leone is still stands as incredible (interview, Hashimu, 2011).

This could be clarified on the speech delivered by military leader, General Sani Abacha to ECOWAS Ministers on 26th, June, 1997 to justify Nigerian's involvement in Sierra Leone's conflict. He stated that:

Permit me to say that the position of Nigeria is one of peace and stability. As a nation, we have always chosen the path of peaceful negotiations wherever possible. Similarly, we have consistently striven to pursue policies which guarantee peace and save lives (see *New Nigerian*, July 1st, 1997).

The reasons and the motives of the country's involvement in the conflict *vis-à-vis* the intent to promote peace and stability are hard to explain and justify. Nigeria's huge losses in both human and material resources make it difficult for the intervention to be justified. This is even against the backdrop of the country's mission to maintain peace in West African sub-region. In addition to the huge

losses recorded during the intervention, the international community also dragged Nigeria to extend the same battle management roles in order to restore peace and security in Sierra Leone. For instance, the United Nations Peace Initiative in Sierra Leone perceived any international intervention incomplete without the involvement of Nigeria (Adeshina, 2002). Abdullah (2013) argued that:

The origin of Nigeria's involvement in Liberia and later in Sierra Leone still needs to be analysed, a lot of it we will never know. It was not because the Nigerian military dictators loved the people of Liberia or Sierra Leone so much as we are made to believe. What I will say through this medium is that the political leaders from the West African countries and their armies in the peacekeeping force had individual and some vague notion of national interests, which made them get involved in the war, first in Liberia and later, in Sierra Leone (interview, Abdullah, 2013).

There was lot of controversies regarding the factors that influenced Nigeria's involvement in Sierra Leone. These controversies mostly arose because of high level of commitments shown by Nigeria in Sierra Leone. Many believed that the promotion of peace and stability alone could not have justified Nigeria's involvement in Sierra Leone. The debate and discourse relating to Nigeria's intervention in Sierra Leone were formed around divergent issues. Some of these issues could be explained within the context of Nigeria's national interests.

National interest is an important concept in the study of foreign policy. Indeed, it is regarded as the main determinant of a country's foreign engagement. Promotion of peace and stability is an essential instrument for advancing national interest and fostering global peace and security. However, peace and security promotion is an activity full of contradictions. Internationally, United Nations (UN) is the primary agency for promoting peace and stability and the maintenance of security. States in contemporary world politics face many challenges. Many conditions and interests both from outside and from within do influence its foreign policy. Differences in states and their international circumstances inter-linked to paint a diverse picture of the ways in which they conduct themselves in international affairs (Beasley *et al.*, 2002). Nigeria's participation in Sierra Leone crisis was therefore, informed by the country's concerns to guarantee its national security interests. Many nations in the world have justified their foreign policy actions on national security interest. Since independence, successful Nigerian governments have justified the country's peace keeping missions in many parts of Africa and the world on the ground of security interest. The Sierra Leone case was not an exception. In a meeting of African Ministers on situation in Sierra Leone, Former Military Head of State,

General Sani Abacha firmly stated that:

Since assuming office as Chairman of ECOWAS one of my primary concerns has been to ensure that peace and stability reign throughout our sub-region. This is because of our belief that without peace and stability, development and growth which are the core objectives of Nigeria (ECOWAS) cannot be realized. I am happy to note that substantial progress has been made in this regard, over the past eleven months. It had been our desire and expectation that with the conduct of elections in Liberia on July 19, 1997, followed by the installation of an elected government in August, this chapter of one preoccupation with the issues of political instability in the sub-region would come to an end. We still believe that this is feasible. We have been acutely aware of the linkages which exist between events and developments in Sierra Leone and events and development in Liberia, it is logical therefore that if there is no peace in Sierra Leone, stability and normalcy will be difficult to establish in Liberia. This is why I took prompt action to the very beginning of the crisis in Sierra Leone to contact some of my brother heads of state in answer to the urgent request made by President Tejan Kabbah (*New Nigerian*, 1997: 17).

The above indicated that Abacha's regime was determined to ensure the security of the sub-region in order to promote the growth and development of the ECOWAS states. Nigeria's involvement in the conflict was not only to provide normalcy in Sierra Leone but the stability of the sub-region. Nigeria's intervention in Sierra Leone could be justified given its dominant position in ECOWAS and the leadership role expected of it not only by the weaker states in the sub-region but also by the international community as a whole. Moreover, the large influx of the Sierra Leone refugees to many parts of West African states had created fears of insecurity and spread of violence in the sub-region. During the civil war, Nigeria received a large number of refugees from Sierra Leone. Influx of refugees contributed in spreading violence in many parts of the world. The fears of spill over to many parts of the sub-region necessitated Nigeria's intervention in the conflict to restore peace and security not only in the country but to the region at large.

Moreover, Nigeria's intervention in Sierra Leone has been perceived by many foreign policy experts and diplomats as a pursuit of its economic interest. The economic interest of Nigeria in the sub-region has been influencing its foreign policy for long. The desire of the country to maintain or advance its economic strength and dominance among West African states influenced Nigeria's active involvement in ECOWAS and particularly the conflicts in Liberia and Sierra Leone that lasted for close almost nearly two decades. The primary motive of Nigeria's intervention in Sierra Leone was not merely to defend the principle of democracy and restore

constitutional order, nor was it to wage war but to help protect innocent and defenseless citizens against further atrocities from RUF (Kabbah, 2010:141). Nigeria's military intervention in Sierra Leone was considered controversial given the nature of the regime that orchestrated intervention. Nigeria's mission in Sierra Leone generated mixed reaction especially among advanced democracies of the world and the international community: while in some quotas it was seen as credible and acceptable, some viewed it as a mere adventure by an autocratic military regime aimed at winning the confidence of the international community at a time when the it was facing a stiff pressure as a result of its domestic abuse of human rights and failure to democratize.

Domestically, Nigeria faced pressures to end the military dictatorship. The intervention was meant to distract the concentration of the international community's pressures on Nigeria to return to democratic rule. General Sani Abacha, side-tracked Nigerian soldiers to another peacekeeping mission in Sierra Leone to avoid tension within the military and the possibility of a military coup against his regime. The defense of democracy in Sierra Leone or the attempt to do an American-style reinstatement of Haitian President Aristide in 1994 was nothing more than an attempt to enhance the damaged domestic and international image of Nigeria and in particular its military head of state, General Abacha.

The Nigerian military leadership under Generals Babangida and Abacha had subverted the democratic wishes of the Nigerian populace when it annulled the results of 12 June 1993 general elections, clamped down on all democratic forces in the country, and caused the suspension of Nigeria from Commonwealth in 1995. Without democratic credentials and legitimacy, why did General Abacha defended democracy abroad and resisted democratic transition at home? The Sierra Leone civil war provided the opportunity for the Nigerian military leader to burnish his battered international image and to establish his domestic democratic credentials. The Sierra Leone pro-democracy adventure by General Abacha was a ploy to further strengthen his international credibility and silence his critics. This was partially achieved after the political settlement of the Sierra Leone civil war in 1997. Though Nigeria's aspiration in Sierra Leone remain debatable, foreign policy experts shared divergent views on the specific motives of Nigeria's peace keeping mission in Sierra Leone.

THE COSTS AND BENEFITS OF NIGERIA'S PEACE MISSION

Nigeria through its foreign policy has always pursued its interest in West African sub-region and the continent at large. Nigeria had been involved in different peace missions in the world since the country's independence in the 1960s (Jega and Farri, 2010). Specifically, the

country's involvement in conflict riddled Sierra Leone in 1990s had cost Nigerian government huge amount of resources in its efforts to advance peace and stability in the later (Hirsch, 2001). The country's effort in providing and sustaining peace and security in Sierra Leone at the forefront of the foremost domestic security challenges still remain an issue of scholarly concern. A lot of foreign policy experts and diplomats perceived Nigeria's mission in Sierra Leone as dissipation of resources considering the vast domestic security challenge. From the 1990 when the war started in Sierra Leone to date Nigeria's governments are battling terrorism, corruption and political instability.

Costs of Nigeria's interest in Sierra Leone

By the 1990s and during Nigeria's peace mission in Sierra Leone and Liberia, a number of development in the country notably continued military dictatorship; the annulment of the 1993 presidential election, which condensed the country's democratization process; self-succession schemes of military leaders; increase human rights abuses; and subjugation of appointed groups were contrasting with the evolving globally acceptable norms of state craft and governance, threatening Nigeria's claim to leadership as a regional power. The country came increasingly under the regime change agenda of global powers and the international community, which took the form of imposition of sanctions, isolation of the Nigerian government, and threats of suspension from major international organizations (Osaghea, 2010). General Abacha's military junta used all sorts of repressive methods to clamp down on political opponents and consolidate its grip on power (Osaghea, 1998). Foreign policy was guided by a survivalist imperative geared towards diverting attention away from Nigeria's domestic problems.

When the country intervened in the conflict in Sierra Leone, the Nigeria's GDP growth rate fell from 8.2% in 1991 to only 1% in 1994. Price inflation reached 60% in 1994 (Osaghea, 1998), and by 1997 Nigeria's external debt stood at \$37 billion (Wright and Okolo, 1998). A rise in oil prices during the Gulf War of 1991 provided a short respite but the damage had already been done and in fact revenues from oil sales during the Gulf War were stashed away in the overseas personal accounts of top military officers (Kargbo, 2006). Thus, 90% of economic resources needed to conduct intervention in Sierra Leone were left to Nigeria alone to take the burden at the expense of its internal security. As Nigerian President Olusegun Obasanjo noted during an address to the UN General Assembly in 1999:

The time has come...for the Security Council to assume its full responsibility, specifically in Sierra Leone and other flash points in Africa. For too long, the burden of preserving international peace and security in West

Africa has been left almost entirely to a few states in our sub region...Nigeria's continual burden in Sierra Leone is unacceptable draining Nigeria financially. For our economy to take off, this bleeding has to stop (Adebajo, 2002:90).

Nigerian economy, which largely relied on oil exports, experienced rapid decline in the 1990s. The oil export revenues provided a credible economic basis for Nigerian foreign policy, especially during the 1970s when a boom in oil sector propelled the country towards the centre stage of international politics. The country's foreign policy came to be described as 'dynamic, progressive and it earned the country much respect as the country is frequently consulted on issues of peace and security (Gambari, 1999). During the period of the intervention, Nigeria's government faced a series of economic sanctions especially during the Abacha's regime for failing to return the country to democratic political system. The sanctions affected the country's economy in such a way that other important domestic issues like internal security challenges had to be abandoned to continue financing the foreign policy mission in Sierra Leone. Even after the sudden death of Sani Abacha and the country's return to democracy Nigeria continued to struggle with economic and security challenges which led to the former president Obasanjo to seek for assistance from the international community to relieve the country from the burden of debt repayment. Hashim added that:

Nigeria faced serious challenges in her efforts to restore peace and security in Sierra Leone. Apart from financial problems, lack of support from the citizens of Sierra Leone has also affected the basic aspect of the peacekeeping in the country. Nigeria's soldiers and diplomats were considered by most Sierra Leoneans as destroyer of human and natural resources in Sierra Leone (Interview: Hashim, 14th November, 2011).

Finances were very paramount in pursuance of a country's foreign policy objectives particularly in the difficult task of restoring and sustaining peace-building and stability abroad. Despite the economic strength of Nigeria, it could hardly sustain a daily expenditure of \$1 million for the Sierra Leonean operation. The operations and provision of some logistics during the military intervention had to be halted due to inadequate funding, though many scholars and diplomats believed that the funds were diverted for personal interest of the then military top officers. This was emphasized by Igrebor (1997), the Editor, *TELL* magazine, who noted that:

Charity, it is said, should begin at home. But Nigeria's is beginning abroad. Armed with the moral support of the international community and the ringing endorsement of the Organisation of African Unity, OAU, and Nigeria's military junta ordered the commencement of military actions to flush out the new military junta that seized

power in Sierra Leone in a bloody coup. The military actions seemed the logical outcome of the failure of moral suasion to get Major Jonny Paul Koroma and his gang of coupists to step down, so that the elected government of President Ahmed Tejjan Kabbah and parliament could be restored immediately. ECOMOG forces, made up of mostly Nigerian troops, launched series of bombardments against Sierra Leonean army positions in Freetown to the cheers of the delegates at the OAU summit of heads of state government in Harare, Zimbabwe, and the chagrin of Sierra Leoneans who support the universal call for the junta to step but oppose external military operations, the regime of General Sani Abacha did not deem it necessary to explain to Nigerians why our troops are leading the battles to get rid of an unwanted junta and restore constitutional order in another country. This was, however, not, surprising; it just demonstrated once more, the utter contempt Nigerian military juntas have for the people they rule. Nigerians were resigned to contemplating the great paradox of their country that has been ruled by military juntas for 28 out of 37 years (1960-1997) of independence and successively for the past 13 years, posturing as the outraged guardian of democratic values and fighting another military junta in another land for doing what its own army has become notorious for illegal seizure of power (*TELL*, June 16th, 1997).

Nigeria's military leaders came under a serious pressure from different actors and interest groups who believed that its action in Sierra Leone was a Father Christmas's gift. However, given the high and unprecedented level of poverty, unemployment, ignorance and infrastructural decay in Nigeria, such worthless ventures only deepens the crisis of development in the country. This gave rooms for terrorists groups like MEND, MOSSOB and Boko Haram in the Northern part of the country to launch their operations.

Similarly, the number of Nigerian soldiers killed in the civil war was believed to be the highest casualties recorded in the history of any sub-regional peacekeeping operation since the end of the Cold War. After the January 1999 attack, pressure was mounted within Nigeria— for, ECOMOG's withdrawal from Sierra Leone. By the end-of May 1999, the war had claimed the lives of over 1800 regional peacekeepers, most of them Nigerians (Rashid, 2000:27). As a result of that many Nigerians took to the street to demonstrate against the Nigeria's foreign policy action in Sierra Leone calling the war baseless. Ibrahim added that:

[...] during the war in Sierra Leone, Nigeria was spending almost millions of dollars a day in order to maintain the ECOMOG (soldiers), whereas the economic environment in Nigeria was not good enough to cater for her citizens. This has made Nigerians to condemn the unilateral intervention of Abacha's military in Sierra Leone. But Nigeria was determined to achieve its mission in the

country (Interview: Ibrahim, 28th February, 2013).

Despite the challenges posed to Nigeria's government and the unstable economic situation of the country, Nigeria was able to continue its mission which was believed to be in line with the foreign policy objectives of the country of ensuring peace and security in the sub-region and Africa at large. The Determination shown by the country's leaders generated mixed reactions on the specific mission the Nigeria's government want to achieve. Many scholars argued that benefit of Nigeria's peace mission in Sierra Leone outweigh its cost considering the stability achieved in the sub-region and heavy economic interest of the country in the later.

BENEFITS DERIVED FROM ITS INVOLVEMENT

Nigeria for decades has been recognized as the "Giant of Africa". This was perhaps because of its leading role in peace-making and peacekeeping activities across the political landscape of Africa, which fosters peace and regional integration (Adebajo and Mustapha, 2008). In its numerous foreign policy pronouncements, Nigeria has shown profound interest in the economic development and social well-being of African States, especially its closest neighbors of West African sub-region. In West Africa, Nigeria's foreign policy goals were closely linked to considerations of national security and economic development. Its abundant natural resources gave the country enormous responsibility for peace, security, and stability in the sub-region.

Nigeria's intervention in Sierra Leone helped the country to re-establish itself as the hegemonic power in the sub-region (Jega and Farris, 2010). The former President Tejjan Kabbah (2010:45) stated in his speech at farewell reception to Nigerians Peacekeeping operation in Sierra Leone that:

I have often expressed my Government's gratitude to ECOMOG and to Nigeria, in particular for the sacrifice they made in rescuing our democracy and the Sierra Leone from total destruction by rebels of the RUF and their cohorts. Without their timely and sustained engagement, the country would probably have been reduced to rubble (Kabbah, 2010:45).

Nigeria and many Nigerians had won international laurels on the account of their contributions to peace consolidation in West Africa especially in Sierra Leone (United Nations, 2003). Nigeria, in this regard, has been consistent in the restoration and consolidation of stability in Sierra Leone not only in the political but also in the economic realm. The involvement helps to strengthen the bilateral relationship between Sierra Leone and Nigeria and expands the later's trade relations internationally. Nigerians had the highest economic investments in Sierra

Leone more than any other people in Africa. These economic investments had really improved the economy and the living standard of the people of Sierra Leone. Jobs were created for Nigerians in the country. High Commissioner of the Republic of Sierra Leone to Nigeria, Henry Olufumi Macauley stressed that:

We have discovered with joy that we have many Nigerian companies and individuals fully participating in the economy of Sierra Leone. For instance, we have over 10 Nigerian banks. A few years ago, the Sierra Leone branch of GTB posted the highest amount of profits and we also have Nigerian businesses in the oil sector. Our oil and gas sector is developing now. We have Nigerian businesses in the informal sector. The relationship has transcended from slavery to educational, to military assistance, now to investments and business (*Punch Newspaper*, 2nd December, 2013).

Even before the civil war in Sierra Leone, Nigeria maintained large economic activities in the country (Interview: Alie, 2013). In Africa, Nigeria has the highest economic investment in Sierra Leone (Classified Document, 2011). Virtually, in all aspects of Sierra Leone economy, Nigeria provided the needed resources and technical knowhow in the development of the economy. Similarly, citizens of Nigeria dominated the largest part of petty businesses in the country. The large percentage of the banking business in Sierra Leone was owned and controlled by Nigerians. Statistics showed that Nigeria controlled 65% of Sierra Leonean economy. About 300,000 Nigerians earned their living through the Sierra Leonean economy (Interview, Bello, 2013). These, among other factors were believed to have influenced Nigeria's intervention in the conflict to promote peace on one hand and its economic interests on the other. Nigeria has strong commercial interest in Sierra Leone under Kabbah's regime. Sierra Leone's oil refinery was sold to the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC), and there were persistent rumors of diamond deals between Abuja and Freetown which some analysts saw as too lucrative to surrender (Adebajo, 2008:194). Lahai, for example stated that:

[...] There were also many economic ties between the Nigerian Government and Sierra Leone before the war. A lot of trading was going on between the two countries. The two countries were working on the same economic zones. They have very strong economic relationship. Therefore, Nigeria needs to intervene to safeguard the trade and economic relationship between the two countries (Interview, Lahai, 26th February, 2013).

The close economic ties between Nigeria and Sierra Leone were seen by many as the justification for former's swift intervention in the crisis of Sierra Leone. This was given the fact that Nigeria has large concentration of its

citizens in Sierra Leone dominating different sectors of the economy and repatriating capital back home. The fear of increased unemployment as a result of return of many Nigerian citizens from Sierra Leone remained a major concern to Nigerian government then. This was in addition to the fact the Nigerian military leaders were believed to be the major beneficiaries from the diamond economy of Sierra Leone. The huge business interest of the then military leaders in Sierra Leone was a remote cause of direct military intervention of Nigeria in Sierra Leone conflict. After the war, the following were the list of some of Nigeria's investment and companies: Emzor Pharmaceuticals Industry (SL) Limited, International Insurance Company (SL) Limited, Gava Forest Corporation (SL) Limited, Dangote Group (SL) Limited, Masters Energy (SL) Limited, Whitehouse Technologies (SL) Limited, Courtville Investment (SL) Limited, Vitafoam (SL) Limited, Orando Oil (SL) Limited, Chicason Group (SL) Limited, and Arik Air (SL) Limited. Out of 11 (eleven) banks currently operating in Sierra Leone, 7 (seven) were owned by Nigerians, they are: Access Bank (SL) Limited, Bank PHB (SL) Limited, First International Bank (SL), Guaranty Trust Bank (SL) Limited, Skye Bank (SL) Limited, United Bank of Africa (SL) Limited, Zenith Bank (SL) Limited and Staco Insurance (SL) Limited (Field Note, 2013).

These institutions not only provided services to Sierra Leonean and financed the government but also created jobs to Nigerians in the country. This addressed or reduced the level of unemployed youths in both Nigeria and Sierra Leone. Nigeria's economic investments remain paramount in the sustenance of peace and security in the post-conflict Sierra Leone, considering the opportunities provided not only in reinvigorating the economy but the employment provided to the teeming youths in Sierra Leone. Nigeria's campaign for increased participation of global players in the building of Sierra Leone is economy has helped in no small measure in the reconstruction efforts. Continuous development assistance from Nigeria to Sierra Leone boosts the confidence of other global players on the need to invest in the promotion of peace and stability in Sierra Leone. No doubt, Nigeria remained the leading actor in the promotion of peace and stability in Sierra Leone, but the country alone cannot achieve meaningful and sustainable peace and stability without the support of other actors in the region and the world at large.

The greatest benefit of Nigeria's peace mission in Sierra Leone is ensuring the stability not only on the later but in the sub-region and the continent at large. Nigeria's government was able to curtail the spread of the violence in other countries in West African sub-region as it was in the case in Liberian crisis. Many foreign policy experts believed that Sierra Leone civil war was a spillover of the Liberian crisis. Imposing peace and stability in the country has also assisted in reducing the proliferations of small arms and lights weapons across the African

continent which posed a grim security menace to Nigeria. Nigeria's security interest was very fundamental in its efforts of promoting peace and security in Sierra Leone. Despite the country benefits many questions are still raised on the specific mission of the then military leaders in Sierra Leone.

Notwithstanding the benefits derived from the mission, the Nigeria's intervention is believed to have been destructive. Some blamed the country for not having a strategy and follow-up actions; others accused the beneficiaries of Nigeria's largesse of ingratitude towards Nigeria (Okolie, 2010). Within that context and period, Nigeria has achieved its objectives.

Conclusion

It is evident that Nigeria had invested heavily in the resolution of Sierra Leone conflict. Huge amount of both human and material resources were committed at the expense of the country's domestic security challenges which is threatening the county's cooperate existence. The intervention though is consistent with the country's commitment to peace and security in the West African sub-region in view of the menace posed by the conflict to the sub-region and the continent at large. The cost of the mission is thus justifiable on the bases of the country's strategic interests in West Africa. This is even against the background that the military dictators of the time appear to be the prime beneficiaries of the resources purportedly spent in the country's mission in Sierra Leone. Notwithstanding the huge public waste and corruption associated with Nigeria's mission in Sierra Leone, the mission is still regarded as one of the most successful Africa's initiative on peace and security promotion abroad.

The paper argues that though Nigeria was somewhat successful in its peace initiative in Sierra Leone, it has however neglected its own domestic security challenges. The insensitivity of the Nigerian state to its domestic security crisis is largely responsible for the upsurge of militias (MEND and MOSSOB) and insurgents (Boko Haram) threatening the corporate survival of the country. The huge investment made in promotion of peace and stability in Sierra Leone remains uncertain. The current Nigeria's security challenge was attributed to misplacement of priorities by the country's leadership in handling external security interests rather than its domestic insecurity. A lot of foreign policy experts and diplomats perceived Nigeria's mission in Sierra Leone as dissipate of resources considering the vast domestic security defy. From the 1990 when the war started in Sierra Leone to date Nigeria's governments are battling terrorism, corruption and political instability. Therefore, for Nigeria to feasibly engage in any international peacekeeping it must be seen to significantly tackle its domestic challenges and strengthen its background factors.

Conflict of Interests

The author has not declared any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Adebajo A (2002). *Building Peace in West Africa: Liberia, Sierra Leone and Guinea-Bissau*. United Kingdom, Lynne Rienner Publishers.
- Adebajo A (2008). "Mad Dogs and Glory: Nigeria's Intervention in Liberia and Sierra Leone". In Adebajo A, Mustafa AR (eds). *Gulliver's Troubles: Nigeria's Foreign Policy After the Cold War*. Cape Town, University of KwaZulu-Natal Press.
- Adebajo A, Mustafa AR (2008). *Gulliver's Troubles: Nigeria's Foreign Policy After the Cold War*. Cape Town, University of KwaZulu-Natal Press.
- Adebayo AA (2014). Implications of 'Boko Haram' Terrorism on National Development in Nigeria: A Critical Review. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, MCSER Publishing, Rome-Italy, Vol. 5, No. 16, ISSN 2039-2117, (online).
- Adeshina RA (2002). *The Reversed Victory: Story of Nigerian Military Intervention in Sierra Leone*. Nigeria, Heinemann Educational Books.
- Agbibo, DE (2013). The Nigerian Burden: Boko Haram and Religious Terrorism in Nigeria. *Conflict, Security Development* 13(1):1-29.
- Agbedo CU (2012). "Linguistic Determinants of Militancy and Terrorism in Nigeria: The Case of MEND and Boko Haram". *Developing Countries Studies Journal*. ISSS 222-056 (Online) 2(11):21-44.
- Ajaero C (2009). "Nigeria's Lost Trillions." *Newswatch*, May 4. In Paki FAE, Ebiemfa KI (eds). *Military Oil Agitations in Nigeria's Niger Delta and the Economy*. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, Vol.1 No. 5; May 2011.
- Bande TM (2010). "Multilateral Water Organizations and Nigeria's National Interest: Lake Chad Basin Commission and Niger Basin Authority". In Jega AM, Farris JW (eds). *Nigeria at Fifty: Contributions to Peace, Democracy and Development*. Abuja, The Shehu Musa Yar'Adua Foundation.
- Beasley RK, Lantis JS, Snarr MT (2002). *Foreign Policy in Comparative Perspective: Domestic and International Influences on the State Behaviour*. Washington DC, Division of Congressional Quarterly Inc.
- Courson E (2009). Movement for the Emancipation of the Niger Delta (MEND): Political Marginalization, Repression and Petro-Insurgency in the Niger Delta. *Nodiska Afrika Institute*.
- Deutsch KW (1989). *The Analysis of International Relations*. India, Prentice-Hall Inc.
- Gambari I (1999). *Theory and Reality in Foreign Policy Making: Nigeria after the Second Republic*. Atlantic Highlands, N.J, Humanities Press International.
- Gillespie A (2015). Boko Haram and its Impact on the Nigerian Economy. *The African Business Journal*. www.tabj.co.29/features/june14
- Hirsch JL (2001). *Sierra Leone: Diamonds and the Struggle for Democracy*. USA, Lynne Rienner Publishers Inc.
- Jega AM, Farris, W (2010). *Nigeria at Fifty: Contribution to Peace, Democracy, and Development*. Abuja, Shehu Musa Yar'Adua Foundations.
- Kabbah AT (2010). *Coming Back from the Brink in Sierra Leone*. Accra, Excellent Publishing and Printing.
- Kargbo MS (2006). *British Foreign Policy and the Conflict in Sierra Leone, 1991-2001*. Germany, Die Deutsche Bibliothek Publishers.
- Nwofor A (2010). A Delta of a Minefield: Oil Resource Conflict and the Politics of Amnesty in Nigeria. *Conflict Trends* 1:31-32.
- Okolie A (2010). "Nigeria's Role in Peacekeeping in Africa: ECOMOG, Chad, Liberia, and Sierra Leone". In Jega AM, Farris W (eds). *Nigeria at Fifty: Contribution to Peace, Democracy, and Development*. Abuja, Shehu Musa Yar'Adua Foundations.
- Okolo APA (1987). *Foreign Capital in Nigeria, 1900-1975: Roots of Underdevelopment*. Heartland Publication House.
- Osaghea EE (1998). *The Cripple Giant: Nigeria Since Independence*. Bloomington, Indiana University Press.
- Osaghea EE (2010). "Nigeria's Role in Democratisation: Liberia, Sierra Leone, Togo, and Equatorial Guinea". In: Jega AM, Farris, W (eds).

- Nigeria at Fifty: Contribution to Peace, Democracy, and Development. Abuja, Shehu Musa Yar'Adua Foundations.
- Paki FAE, Ebienna KI (2011). "Military Oil Agitations in Nigeria's Niger Delta and the Economy". *International Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, Vol.1 No. 5; May 2011.
- Peter PJ (2007). "Nigeria's Foreign Policy: An Inquiry into Sources and Prospects". *The EU and Africa: Looking for Strategic Partnership*. Lisbon, Portugal. V FLAD-IPRI International Conference.
- United Nations. (2003). 20th Report on United Nations Mission in Sierra Leone. S/2003/1209. December 23.
- Wright S, Okolo J (1998). "Nigeria Aspirations of a Regional Power". In Julius OI (ed). *Illusions of Power: Nigeria in Transition*. Trenton, N.J, Africa World Press.

News Papers and Magazines

- Daily Trust, December 8, 2014
- Daily Trust, November 3, 2014
- New Nigerian, July 1st, 1997
- Punch Newspaper, 2009
- Punch Newspaper, 2nd December, 2013
- ThisDay, 20th August, 2012
- Counting the Costs of Boko Haram Report: Transparency for Nigeria. <http://www.transparencynigeria.com/news.categories/89-national-security/6431-terrorism-counting-the-cost>. Accessed on 19/8/2015
- Report of the Technical Committee on the Niger Delta (2008), Retrieved on 18/8/15 from http://www.mosop.org/nigeria_Niger_Delta_Technical_Committee_Report_2008.pdf

List of Informants (interview)

- Bello Abba Jaye, Senior Counselor, (ECOWAS and TAC) Nigerian High Commission, Freetown, Sierra Leone; interviewed on 28th February, 2013 at Nigerian High Commission's office, Nigeria House 1, Umar Yar'Adua Crescent, Hill Road Junction, Freetown.
- Haruna Musa Hassan, Deputy Director, Federal Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Abuja, interviewed at his residence in Abuja on 14th October, 2011.
- Hon. Dr. Bernadette Lahai (Mrs) MP. Minority Leader Sierra Leone National Assembly, member committees on Agriculture, Mineral Resources and Legislature; Interviewed at her office Sierra Leone Parliament, Tower Hill Freetown, on 26th February, 2013.
- Ibrahim .V. Kondoh, Director of Africa and Regional Integration Federal Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Internal Cooperation Government of Sierra Leone; interviewed at his office 14 Gloucester Street Freetown, Sierra Leone on 28th February, 2013.
- Prof. Ibrahim Abdullah, a historian who specialized in colonial and post-colonial history; He has published in the area of African social/labour history and has taught in universities in America, Canada, Nigeria, South Africa and Sierra Leone, interviewed on 8th March, 2013.
- Professor A.D. Alie, Head of Department of History and African Studies, Fourah Bay College University of Sierra Leone; interviewed at his office in Fourah Bay College University of Sierra Leone on 22nd February, 2013.

A person in a dark suit and tie is holding a globe of the Earth. The background is a blurred office setting. The text is overlaid on a semi-transparent dark grey band.

African Journal of Political Science and International Relations

Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

- Journal of Geography and Regional Planning
- Journal of Economics and International Finance
- Journal of Hospitality Management and Tourism
- International Journal of Sociology and Anthropology
- Journal of Public Administration and Policy Research
- African Journal of Marketing Management

academicJournals